US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MEMORANDUM

MAR 2 14 1932

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

DATE:

March 17, 1982

SUBJECT:

Carcinogenicity/Oncogenicity Assessment of Oryzalin

'Surflan'

Re: Lilly 2-Year Rat Feeding Study R167 & R177; Permanent Tolerance Action 6F1859; Registration

#1471-96 & 1471-112; CASWELL#623A

FROM:

Bertram D. Litt, Statistician

Toxicology Branch/HED (TS-769)

TO:

R. Bruce Jaeger, Section Head

Review Section I

Toxicology Branch/HED (TS-769)

Toxicological review of the subject study identified large numbers of tumor bearing animals. Dr. Kasza, Branch pathologist, advised that statistical analyses be paelformed to determine the level of significance for the following groups of tumors: skin tumors (Fibroma and/or Fibrosarcoma; Keratoacanthoma and/or squamous cell carcinoma; papilloma; Basal cell and related tumors); Hepatic Adenoma; Mammary gland Fibroma, Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma as separate categories; Thyroid Follicular cell Adenoma and/or Carcinoma; thyroid C-cell Adenoma and/or carcinoma; Malignant' Lymphoma; Pituitary Adenoma, Testicular Institutal Cell Tumors. The toxicologist, Dr. Quaife, has prepared the data for analysis by abstracting and tabulating the tumors reported for each animal by dose and sex group and day and type of death. After noting the small number of apparently tumor-free rats the report sheets for these animals have been rechecked and some of them were found to have pancreatic and/or adrenal tumors and these findings are included in the following analyses of tumors bearing animals.

The initial consideration is the relative survival reported (see Table I.) It should be clear that there is a dose related reduction in survival of rats treated with Oryzalin (P < .01) in both sexes. Moreover, it is shown the there is a 20 percent reduction among the high dose (2700 ppm or 135 mg/kg/day) rats. This statistically significant reduction in high dose survival suggests that the maximum tolerated dose has been exceeded and that tumor finding may be biased among the high dosed groups.

Table I. Oryzalin Study 167 & 177 Rats: Survival

Survival of Male Rats

	N	lumber	of Sur	vivors		<u> </u>	ercent	Surviva	11
Dose (ppm)	<u>0 M</u>	<u>12 M</u>	<u>18 M</u>	<u>21 M</u>	24 M	12	<u>M 18 N</u>	1 21 M	24 M
0 300 900 2700	60 60 60	58 60 59 59	52 59 53 49	52 53 50 43*	47 41 36 34**	96. 100. 98. 98.	0 98.3 3 88.3	88.3	78.3 68.3 60.0 53.3

Survival of Female Rats

	<u>N</u>	umber	of Sur	vivors		Per	cent S	urviva	11
Dose (ppm)	<u>0 M</u>	<u>12 M</u>	<u>18 M</u>	<u>21 M</u>	24 M	12 M	<u>18 M</u>	21 M	24 M
0 300 900 2700	60 60 60	60 60 60 59	55 58 56 53	49 51 47 42 ^B	44 40 37 31*	100 100 100 98.3	90.0 96.7 93.3 88.3	81.7 85.0 78.3 70.0	73.3 66.7 61.7 51.7

B: One-tail test P .1
*: One-tail test P < .05</pre>

**: One-tail test P < .01

When the total number of tumor bearing animals was examined we found almost all males and the majority of all study rats had at least one tumor:

<u>\$</u>	Tumor Fre	e Proportion o	f Rats
Dose Group	Males	<u>F</u>	emales
Control Low Dose Mid Dose	4/60 0/60 1/60	**************************************	16/60 12/60 3/60
High Dose	2/60		9/60

When, as suggested by the survival data, one deleted the high dosed group a statistically significant increase in tumor bearing animals is observed to be associated with increased Oryzalin exposure (or dose). At the suggestion of Dr. Kasza, the Tox Branch Pathologist we reexamined the total tumor incidence treating animals with a single tumor diagnosed as Interstitial Cell Tumor in males or a single tumor in females or a Pituitary Adenoma in either sex as if they were tumor-free, the proportion of tumor bearing rats was:

Dose Group	Males	Females
Control Low-Dose Mid-Dose	33/60 43/60* 43/60*	25/60 30/60 39/60*
High-Dose	53/60***	37/60*

(* One-tail Test P < .05; ***One-tail Test P < .001)

The increased proportion of tumor bearing rats is clear evidence of the need to look more closely at individual tumor types and particularly among the males.

Table II. Oryzalin Study 167 & 177 Rat Thyroid Findings.

•			
Thyroid: Follicul	ar Cell Adenom	<u>a</u>	
Females 167&177	Interim	Terminal	Total
0 ppm 300 ppm 900 ppm	1/12 0/20 0/23	0/43 1/40 3/37	1/35 1/60 3/60
2700 ppm	3/26	6/29	9/55
Male 167&177	Interim	Terminal	Total
0 ppm 300 ppm 900 ppm	Des des cas que		1/59 10/59 5/57
2700 ppm			6/56
	C-Cell Ad	enoma	
Females 167&177	Interim	<u>Terminal</u>	Total
0 ppm 300 ppm 900 ppm	1/12 0/20 2/23	4/43 2/40 3/37	5/55 2/60 5/60
2700 ppm	5/26	0/29	5/55
Males 167&177	Interim	Terminal	Total
0 ppm 300 ppm 900 ppm		der der der ges der ger den ges der lan der der	6/59 8/60 1/60
2700 ppm .	T Det Die sein das des des ges ges ges Hill das ges ges	**************************************	4/60

Thyroid tumors and more especially Follicular Cell Adenomas were identified by the toxicologist as a target tissue response. However, when the data are displayed as, in table II, we find that the principle response or high tumor rate, is observed among high dose females. This may easily be a spurious finding if the preceeding observations concerning bias in the high dose group and higher sesitivity for tumors among males are accurate.

Accordingly we next examined the proportion of animals with skin tumors of any of the 4 types listed below. The total number of skin tumor bearing animals is displayed in table III. The males are definitely more sensitive with respect to time of appearance of skin tumors although a higher level of statistical significance is seen among mid-dose females relative to controls: (P = .0016 for females vs .024 for males.) The sensitivity of the males is demonstrated by the highly significant dose response observed among the animals dying with tumor during the study - i.e. earlier onset; while females do not show an effect until the terminal kill.

When the individual or specific types of skin tumors are examined one at a time we find two tumor types of special interest. The keratoacanthoma and/or squamous cell carcinoma (see table IV) shows an increase in females and in males at the high dose but not significantly at mid or low doses; the basal cell and related adenomas or tricepithelioma group show a highly statistically significant increasing rate at low and mid-doses and a significant effect of the highest dose with the same patterns discussed for total skin tumors.

From these results we conclude that all statistical weight indicates that due to the increase in both sexes and earlier incidence of Basal cell type tumors in males that this tumor be used as the basis for quantitative risk assessment. The data were used as the basis for low-dose risk extrapolation after the dosage levels were adjusted to mg/kg/d by the constant showed in Lehman's tables, ppm \(\sim .05 \) mg/kg/day and adjusted to human exposure level equivalents using the surface area approximation of (human wt \(\frac{1}{2} \) animal wt)1/3 doses of 300 and 900 ppm were adjusted to 3 and 9 mg/kg/day respectively.

Models tested for goodness of fit for low dose extrapolation included:

Multi-Stage Model Males Multi-Stage Model Both Sexes Multi-Hit Model -One-Hit Model	P > .9 P > .9 P < .01
Weibull with Additive Background Weibull with Independent Background Probit Model	P > .05 P < .01 P < .01

These findings plus sister chromatid study showing a potential for mutagenic response are consistent with the conclusion that the One-hit and Multi-stage models are the most logical but that the Multi-stage model provided a better fit to these data. Both of the Multi-stage models lead to approximately the same level of potency as estimated by the 95% Upper Confidence Bound on the slope or dose response as indicated by $Q_1^* = 3.375 \times 10^{-2}$ for the male plus the female data or $Q_1^* = 4.426 \times 10^{-2}$ for male data only. The estimate of poteny, Q_1^* , when multiplied by individual exposures will provide an Upper 95% Confidence Bound on the expected risk of human cancer based on the finding of 11/120 basal cell tumors in control rats, 16/20 at 3 mg/kg/day human equivalent in food and 32/120 at 9 mg/kg/day human equivalent in the food of study rats.

Table III: Oryzalin Study 167&177 Rat Skin Tumors
Proportion of Animals with Skin Tumors

Sex Males	Dose	Interim (%)	Terminal (%)	Total # (米)
	0 ppm 300 ppm	3/13 (23.1) 6/19 (31.6)	, , , , , , ,	18/60 (30.0)
	900 ppm	15/22 (68.2)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	21/60 (35.0) 30/58 (51.7)
Females	27 00 ppm	18/25 (72.0)	25/34 (73.5)	43/59 (72.9)
	0 ppm 300 ppm	2/16 (12.5) 4/20 (20.0) 4/23 (17.4)	3/44 (6.8) 6/40 (15.0) 14/37 (37.8)	5/60 (8.3) 10/60 (16.7) 18/60 (30.0)
	2700 ppm	9/29 (31.0)	21/31 (38.7)	21/60 (35.0)

Table IV. Oryzalin Study 167&177 Rat Skin Tumors

Skin: Keratoacanthoma and/or Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Females 1cm	•			arcinoma
900 ppm 2700 ppm	0/16 1/20 1/23	Terminal 1/44 0/40 3/37	1/60 1/60 4/60	Statistical Sig. N.S. <.05
Males 167&177	3/29	5/31	8/60	<.001
0 ppm 300 ppm	<u>Interim</u> 1/13 0/19	Terminal 4/47	<u>Total</u> 5/60	Statistical Sig.
900 ppm 2700 ppm	4/24 7/25	3/41 1/36	3/60 5/60	N.S. N.S.
Skin. page	1/23	11/34	18/59	<.0001

Skin: Basal Cell, Preputial, Sebaceous or Zymbals' Gland Adenoma or Tricepithelioma

Females 167&177	Interim	То т		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
0 ppm	0/16	Terminal	<u>Total</u>	Statistical Sig.
300 ppm 900 ppm	1/20	· 2/44 2/40	2/60	
2700 ppm	3/23	10/37	3/60 13/60	N.S. <.001
	4/29	6/31	10/60	50 ago and and have sade was also and sade
Males 167&177	Interim	Terminal		<.01
0 ppm 300 ppm	2/13		Total	Statistical Sig.
300 ppm 900 ppm	5/19	7/47 8/41	9/60	
2700 ppm	9/24	10/36	13/60 19/60	N.S.
- · · · · · ppm	10/25	7/34		<.02
		, - •	17/59	0.06

1110 18st undated 3/22/62	,
3/22/10	
ACCION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	
ACCEPTABLE LAND	
ACCEPTABLE DAILY INTAKE DATA	
Dog Well	
	the same of the sa
	<i>(</i>):
[4].	UKS) -
20000.00940.56	25
	The state of the s
Published Tolerances	
Toterances	
CIUP WOLL	the same of the sa
1 30VI/ 5 c	
Cotton (C11) (148)	
Cottonseed (011) (148) 0.100 Factor mg/day (1.5k	a)
$C(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)$	
Figs (57) 0.050 3.01 0.0002	
2.01	
Sivi Fruit (204) 0.050 0.03 0.00230	the same of the sa
1011(1204) 0.050 0.03 0.00002	
Fisternic put	-
Fistecnic nutc(210) 0. 50 0.06 0.0003 Fone Fruits(10) 0.050 0.000 0.00005	The same of the sa
POMEC FRUITS (120) 0.050 0.03 0.0005	
Pomegranates (120) $0.050 = 0.03 = 0.00002$ Shall fruit borning $0.050 = 0.03 = 0.00002$	
$\frac{2.79}{\text{Stops british}(160)} = 0.000 = 0.000 = 0.000000000000000000$	·
Stone Fruits (151) 0.050 0.03 0.00002	
(1.93) (1.93) (1.93)	
1 2.5	
1 0102	
0.5625 BB/GBY(OUKY) 0.0002 BB/GBY(1.5kg) 8 ADI	
76FC	
(D)	
10 /day(1 1 /h)	·
1.40	
	The state of the s
Unr.upli: 11c	
Unvioliblication	***
unpublished, sex Approved EL2075, sport	***
Unpublished, sex Approved &L2075, SE2219	***
Unpublished, sex Approved EL2075, SE2219	***
Chop Tolorance Food Walter	***
Chop Eclerance Food Factor Total Sweet Potatices (157)	***
Chop Potatices (157) 0. 50 Charter mg/day (1.5kg)	***
ChOP Tolerance Food Factor Ing/day(1.5kg) Peas(117) 0.0507 0.40 0.00030	***
Chop Tolerance Food Factor Ing/day(1.5kg) Peas(117) 0.0507 0.40 0.00030	***
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
Oncoblished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$02215 Chop	
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
ChOP Tolerance Food Factor Ing/day(1.5kg) Peas(117) 0.50 0.40 0.00030 Peas(117) 0.0507 0.69 0.00052	
### Unpublished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$12215 Chap	
Oncoblished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$02215 Chop	
Oncoblished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$02215 Chop	
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
Oncoblished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$02215 Chop	
Oncoblished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$02215 Chop	
ChOP Tolorance Food Factor Ing/day(1.5kg) Peas(117) 0.50 0.40 0.00030 Peas(117) 0.0507 0.69 0.00052	
Oncoblished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$02215 Chop	
### Unpublished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$12215 Chap	
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
Oncoblished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$02215 Chop	
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
### Unpublished, Text Approved & E2075, SE2215 Chap	
Oncoblished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$02215 Chop	
Oncoblished, Tex Approved & £2075, \$02215 Chop	
Universiting, for Approved EL2075, SE2219 Chop	
ChOP Tolorance Food Factor Ing/day(1.5kg) Peas(117) 0.50 0.40 0.00030 Peas(117) 0.0507 0.69 0.00052	
######################################	
Universiting, for Approved (12075, SE2219 Chop	
Universiting, for Approved EL2075, SE2219 Chop	
Universiting, for Approved (12075, SE2219 Chop	
Universiting, for Approved EL2075, SE2219 Chop	
Universiting, for Approved EL2075, SE2219 Chop	
Universiting, for Approved EL2075, SE2219 Chop	
Universities, icx Approved EL2075, SE2219 Chop	